

**Consortium:
Supporting vocational education and training providers in building
capability for the future**

Investigating approaches for sustaining and building educational leadership

Draft literature review

1 November 2005

This review is a 'work in progress', and may be periodically updated in the course of the research program.

This literature review was developed by the above researcher as part of the Consortium Research Program: 'Supporting vocational education and training providers in building capability for the future'. This program is funded by the Australian, State and Territory governments through the Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST) and managed by the National Centre for Vocational Education Research (NCVER).

Please send any comments to Principal Researcher:
v.callan@business.uq.edu.au

Executive Summary

This paper provides a brief overview of recent thinking about the nature of leadership, and in particular the character and behaviours we expect of more transformational leaders.

Transformational leadership is focused upon organisational change and behaviours that inspire employees and that promote opportunities for creativity, innovation and new ways of thinking and behaving at work. These leaders promote workplace cultures that are supportive, intellectually stimulating and risk-tolerant. Transformational leaders are fully aware of the value of coaching, mentoring and being seen as role models for the values and behaviours that are important in the new organisations that emerge through either incremental or more transformational change. Leaders today are also more tuned into the roles of emotions and values in shaping the behaviour of their employees, and their contributions as leaders in building positive psychological states and emotional capital in the workplace. In particular, leaders engage in what is being labeled as “artful authenticity” by leveraging off their personality strengths and weaknesses to build deeper relationships with their employees.

Within the VET context, it is clear that leaders as transformational agents are responding to multiple drivers for change. National and State governments are demanding actions that respond to skills shortages, the improvement of skills and qualifications profiles, the continued removal of barriers to skills acquisition, up-skilling and employment for people who are disadvantaged in work and training, and organisational responses that require more flexibility, customisation and partnerships with industry and other players. Significantly, a variety of recent reports into VET leadership are beginning to describe the range of managerial and leadership capabilities that are required of a range of staff in training organisations. This report details the primary findings of those reviews in terms of drafting a set of capabilities required of the executive, managers, teaching staff and support staff in training organisations so that their organisations can respond to such drivers for change, as well as competing priorities and tensions. Finally, a variety of human resource strategies are briefly overviewed that can be accessed by leaders and their organisations to identify and to build individual capabilities, and in turn their organisational capabilities.

The next stage of this project will be to put forward broad frameworks of various sets of capability required by the executive, managers, teaching staff and support staff, as well as human resource management strategies to build these capability sets over time.

Introduction

What VET institutions are doing well in terms of leadership behaviour and its development is only just being understood. Recent reports show that VET workforces, especially at the managerial and supervisory levels, require an extensive range of management and leadership capabilities, as well as professional and more generic skills, to meet the continued challenges for change, innovation and to build strong and sustainable organisations for the future.

One aim of this project is to examine more fully the leadership capabilities that are being shown and required at all levels of our diverse VET organisations, including not only among its managers, but also its teachers, trainers and support staff. A second aim of this project is to evaluate the current leadership development efforts being used in VET organisations to build enhanced capabilities; to examine improved ways of sharing these developments across VET; and to put forward strategies from within VET and from other sectors that can be used to enhance organisational effectiveness.

Stages in this project

This research activity will:

- review the latest thinking from national and international research about the nature of leadership, and in particular at efforts to build and sustain leadership
- identify the required capabilities for staff who are managers, teachers, and support staff
- report on the current learning and development practices being applied by a range of training organisations to build enhanced capabilities in their workforces
- explore existing and improved ways for sharing across the VET sector new ideas about the use of professional development opportunities to build supervisory, manager and leader capabilities
- define existing, and put forward alternative strategies, for enhancing staff capability in these three groups

Products from this project

Products will include:

- this literature review that provides the rationale for the development of the management and leadership capability measures
- diagnostic tools that can be used by organizations to conduct training needs or developmental needs gap analyses for different types of staff
- a set of developmental strategies that can be applied to assist staff development at each of the different levels
- final research report that summarizes the findings of the research activity

- conference papers and presentations of the findings at local and international forums that examine vocational education, training and development, human resource management, and leadership development

Benefits

Participating training organisations will be the first to benefit from this research by:

- access to new capability frameworks and supporting tools that allow them to better target their required management and leadership capabilities at various levels of their staff
- becoming more self-reliant in terms of being able to target and design their own learning and development strategies to achieve these capabilities for various levels of staff
- through the ideas in the final report, assistance in determining from a broad range of human resource management and change management strategies, those strategies that best suit the size, context and location of the RTO to improve the ability of its workforce

Defining leadership

There is a long history of debate about what we mean by the concept of leadership. As a single domain of research and practitioner interest, there are possibly more models about what we mean by leadership than any other area of the social and behavioural sciences. In addition, there are a vast array of definitions of leadership that support each of these models. Classified today, however, as a "mature field" of research and understanding (Hunt, 2004), this is not to deny that there are many different and very useful views about the make-up and behaviours of leaders.

A useful first step in moving through this definitional maze is to recognise the distinction between managing and leading. According to Gosling and Mintzberg (2003), however, one risk of this separation is that management is pushed into the background. They argue that no one aspires to being a good manager, as all of the focus and rewards are linked to leadership. On the other hand, as a counter to this negative view of management, it needs to be recognised that (see Buckingham, 2005; Huy, 2001; Gosling & Mintzberg, 2003):

- there is a transition from technical specialist to supervisor to manager to leader, and the development of good managers is a critical step in how organisations go about nurturing and growing their future leaders.
- management and leadership are overlapping domains of activity, and each concept adds meaning to the other. Management without leadership encourages an uninspired style that typically maintains the status quo. Leadership without management encourages a disconnected style that promotes arrogance and isolation.
- management supports the efforts by organisations to plan, budget, coordinate and to compete for today. Leadership supports the efforts of an organisation to set a vision and direction for the future.

A second step in sorting through various definitional positions about leadership is the need to appreciate the distinctions between the older and newer theories of leadership. In the 1970s, behavioural theories of leadership dominated our thinking, with their attention upon path-goal relationships, leader-member exchange theories, and normative decision theory. In the 1980s, these traditional theories that emphasised natural cognitive processes used by leaders faced competition from newer theories about the styles of charismatic, transformational and spiritual leadership (see Yukl, 1999, 2002). These newer theories, in contrast, emphasised emotions, values, and acknowledged more than in the past the symbolic behaviour and role of the leader in making events meaningful for followers.

In environments of uncertainty and change, transformational theories explain how leaders can

influence followers to commit to difficult goals, and to achieve more than previously expected. Their focus is best summarised by the catch cry “encouraging ordinary people to do extraordinary things”. However, these newer theories about transformation and change also have a stake in the past. In particular, they recognise the older heroic leadership stereotype, especially how leaders motivate followers to make self-sacrifices because they trust, admire and are loyal to the leader (Latimore & Callan, 1999). A critical component of these newer theories about what leaders do, and how followers respond, is the aspirational goal or vision. (Yukl, 2002). The vision is a motivational device, a statement about a highly desirable future that is meaningful for followers, and which over time they identify with and commit to.

As I have described in the past in ‘*Odysseus for today: Ancient and modern lessons for leaders*’ (Latimore & Callan, 1999), the main features of such post-heroic leaders is that they:

- lead from within their teams rather than from out in front
- promote shared leadership with their followers
- guide, mentor and coach
- achieve credibility and trust through honesty, competence, by being forward-looking and through being visible and accessible.

While it might be the dominant school of thought about leadership at present, the transformational model is not without criticism. The major interest of transformational theory is the leader's direct impact upon the individual employees. Much less attention is given to how these same leaders shape group and organisational processes. Besides a perceived over-emphasis upon the relationship between the leader and his or her employees, these theories pay little attention to task-oriented behaviours like how leaders classify to staff their expectations, set goals, plan, coordinate, allocate resources and monitor the performance of their staff (see Yukl, 1999, 2002). Also they are criticised for not describing the importance of contextual or more situational influences upon leaders’ behaviours.

In reply, defenders of transformational theories do not see this approach, or others, as providing the complete theory of leadership. The criticisms about a lack of attention to roles like planning, giving rewards and monitoring are not the focus of writers who describe the behaviours of more transformational leaders. The “plan, organise, budget and control” type of leadership in their eyes is really about what is called transactional forms of leadership, or adopting Kotter's (1990) position, more about managing than leading. The next section

describes in more detail the core behaviours that researchers today are really more focused upon in attempting to define and to understand the key behaviours of the more transformational leader – that is, the leaders of major change.

Transformational leadership

In a recent review of the leadership field, Hunt (2005) described the increasing popularity of theories, studies and case studies about the transformational leader. The popularity of this theory of leadership both among leadership researchers and practitioners owes much to its emphasis upon the nature of change in organisations. More than other frameworks, transformational leadership focuses upon the significant role that leaders can play in promoting both personal and organisational change, and the role of leaders in assisting their employees to meet and exceed expectations about performance (Avolio, 2005).

As noted by Callan, Latemore and Paulsen (2004) in their report “*The best laid plans: Uncertainty, complexity and large scale organisational change*”, leaders today are skilled at “disturbing the organisational system” in a manner that approximates their desired outcomes. Change leaders do plan change, but they expect the unexpected. They ensure that their organisations and employees capitalise on all of the opportunities that are presented by change. Because the best laid plans are not sufficient for successful change due to the inherent messiness of the change process, successful organisations that change readily and successfully need staff at all levels who feel that they have the capabilities to capitalise on new opportunities. That is, leadership is required at the top, but also at different levels of organisations today, in order to stay innovative and competitive.

There is considerable agreement that transformational leadership is comprised of at least four interrelated behaviours or sets of actions. They engage in (Avolio, 2005; Luthans & Avolio, 2003):

- inspirational maturation – articulating an appealing and evocative vision about what the organisation wants to become, and how it wants to serve its customers and related stakeholders
- intellectual stimulation – promoting opportunities, and organisational cultures, that encourage creativity and innovation among staff
- idealised influence – providing a role model for staff at all levels
- individualised consideration – engaging in coaching and mentoring roles that empower staff.

Major lessons from theory and research into transformational leadership are that (Avolio,

2005):

- subordinates judge leaders as more effective when they engage in transformational behaviours
- transformational leaders exist at all levels of organisations
- the more transformational is the leadership at higher levels in the organisation, the more it is found at lower levels, including in its teams.

As well as the lessons and direction they provide for managers and leaders of change, transformational leadership frameworks have opened up related and significant discussions about the links between employee performance, and the roles of positive emotions, emotional intelligence, authenticity and the promotion of innovation in organisations. Strong conceptual and empirical links are being established in more recent times between being more transformational as a leader, and emotional intelligence (Ashkanasy & Tse, 2000), the importance of authenticity (Goffee & Jones, 2006), and higher levels of creativity and innovation among employees (Mumford, Scott, Gaddis & Strange, 2002). These aspects of transformational leadership are now discussed in more detail.

Leadership, emotions and authenticity

In contrast to the predominantly cognitive emphasis of many conceptions about leadership, there is growing recognition of the role of emotions and values on leader and follower behaviours. More attention is being given to various emotional and social aspects of leader behaviours (i.e. values, self-awareness, management of self and others, emotions) and their impact on producing higher levels of trust, engagement, well-being and performance among employees (e.g. Ashkanasy & Tse, 2000; George, 2000).

The recent focus upon leadership and emotions is also linked to other debates. These discussions include the role of the leader in managing the social, emotional and psychological impact upon employees of large scale and disruptive change (Paulsen, Callan et al., 2005). In addition, there are debates about the ethical and moral imperatives of leadership (Luthans & Avolio, 2003) and, in turn, the important role of leaders in building positive psychological states and emotional capital (e.g. self-esteem, hope, optimism and personal expressiveness; Seligman, 2002), if organisations and their staff are to grow and thrive in highly turbulent environments. Recent collapses of well-known organisations have fuelled these debates.

While there is continued controversy about definitions of emotional intelligence (Jordan, Ashkanasy & Hartel, 2002), possibly the best known writing is by Goleman (1995, 1998).

According to Goleman, emotionally intelligent leaders manage themselves and their relationships effectively. In particular, they display sets of behaviour that demonstrate:

- self-awareness (i.e. self-confidence, realistic evaluations of their strengths and weaknesses)
- self-management (i.e. self control, trustworthiness, conscientiousness, adaptability, initiative)
- social awareness (i.e. empathy, ability to build networks and to navigate politics)
- social skills (i.e. visionary leadership, developing others, communication, change catalyst, teamwork, conflict management).

Goleman (2000) argues that our leaders need many different styles of leading, and the more styles a leader exhibits, the better. More emotionally intelligent leaders are more able to switch styles (i.e. authoritative, democratic, affiliative, coaching) due to their higher levels of self-awareness, ability to read a situation, and adaptability. Transformational leaders in particular establish more intellectually stimulating workplaces that in turn also foster more openness, creativity, and willingness by their employees to challenge the status quo.

As noted above, one important aspect of emotional intelligence is the ability to develop realistic evaluations about oneself as a leader. The related concept of authenticity has its roots in humanistic psychology and especially philosophy, including Greek philosophy (e.g. "To thine own self be true"). As a natural off-shoot of the transformational view of leadership that I have argued earlier attends more to emotions and values, authentic leaders are (Goffee & Jones, 2006; Luthans & Avolio, 2003):

- not interested in faking their leadership, in that they are true to themselves, rather than conforming to the expectations of others
- motivated by personal convictions rather than by gaining status, rewards or personal benefits
- not imitators of others' leadership styles, but lead from their own personal point of view
- guided by personal values and convictions
- motivated to excite people to higher performance.

Building upon this description, Goffee and Jones (2006) in "*Why should anyone be lead by you?*" argue that authenticity is more than "being yourself". Leaders engage in "artful authenticity" that involves deliberate acts that leverage their personality to build a deeper relationship with their followers. Central to this is the leader's ability to know himself or herself, and to deliberately use parts of their personality as leadership tools, whether those parts are strengths or weaknesses. Good leaders know what works for them and are willing to admit to their humanity, strengths and weaknesses They are often guided by strong levels of

intuition and emotional intelligence. These expressions of humility, quiet determination and willingness to take the blame strongly parallel what Collins (2001) also labels as "Level 5 Leadership" in his popular book, *"Good to Great"*. Level 5 leaders build enduring greatness through a paradoxical combination of personal humility and professional will.

Like transformational leaders, and Level 5 leaders, authentic leaders are not necessarily described as charismatic by others. Rather through artful authenticity, they build enduring relationships, work hard, and convey their values to others through words and very often through their actions (George, 2003). Authentic leaders, like transformational leaders, are confident, optimistic and hopeful. However, it is more their own deep sense of self, and where they stand on issues and values, that distinguishes them from the classical profile of more transformational leaders (Avolio & Gardner, 2005).

Leadership and innovation

Creativity and innovation are "hot topics" in most western nations today. In a knowledge driven global business environment, the creative processes that ultimately produce innovations implicitly involve leadership. Leaders play a major role in building organisational cultures that facilitate creativity and in turn innovation in organisations (Mumford et al., 2002). We know from research into the links between innovation and leadership (Jaussi & Dionne, 2003; Jung, 2000; Sosik, Avolio & Kahai, 1998) that:

- transformational leaders through their values and actions contribute to the problem solving of their employees, and to more divergent thinking that promotes creativity
- transformational leaders play a major role in building workplace cultures that are supportive, intellectually stimulating and risk-tolerant
- employees who identify with their leader as a role-model do learn vicariously to be more creative by watching the leader as a creative individual who often thinks "outside the box".

Transformational leaders promote and create an intellectually stimulating and collaborative work environment (Mumford et al., 2002). As transformational agents, they create and sustain an organisational culture that nurtures creative efforts and facilitates the diffusion of learning. In a recent review of innovative organisations, Callan (2004) further argued that risk is integral to creativity and innovation in organisations. Creative work needs leadership support because it often involves dealing with complex, ill-defined problems that require the production of new and practical solutions. Employees need permission and support as they generate new ideas and novel solutions to these problems. A critical enabler is this "failure-

tolerant" leader (Farson & Keyes, 2002) who demonstrates unequivocally that stumbles on the pathway to innovation are forgiven and are to be expected.

Management and leadership in vocational education and training institutions

Drivers for change

A large number of reports have detailed the drivers for change in the vocational education and training (VET) sector, and in turn, the challenges facing VET leaders, managers, teachers, support and other staff. The drivers for change include (Chappell, Hawke, Rhodes & Solomon, 2003; Dickie, Eccles, Fitzgerald & McDonald, 2004; Guthrie, 2004; Mitchell, McKenna, Perry & Bald, 2005):

- National and State governments are demanding actions that respond to skills shortages, the improvement of skills and qualifications profiles, recognition of prior learning, the continued removal of barriers to skills acquisition and the up-skilling and employment for people who are disadvantaged in work and training.
- VET organisations need to better understand and to respond to several trends in the market place, including the growing group of part-time, casual and seasonal teachers and trainers. VET employees are moving between several employers as self-employed workers. Demographic changes, including the ageing of the VET workforce and the need to attract and retain Generation X and Y employees in a highly competitive job, are also impacting. As the skills shortages increase, VET employers will increasingly have to compete to attract a skilled workforce with associated changes in the expectations of employers and employees. However, it is argued by many that the image of VET, together with aspects of employment in VET, will make it difficult to attract and retain skilled staff.
- there is also ambiguity in the VET system about the skills and capabilities that are required by its wide variety of client groups. There is a more diverse customer base that has grown in sophistication. It is demanding products and services that require a re-thinking of positions adopted in the past about service delivery, flexibility and customisation. The introduction of a more competitive training market, with the arrival of private training providers and new funding arrangements like user choice and fee for service, is also driving the pace of change.
- there is evidence that developments in VET practice – flexibility, customisation and larger partnerships – are evolving far too rapidly for industrial agreements, jobs design, HR practices and related views about the capabilities required of staff. The intensification of the workload among managers and teachers has increased the range of responsibilities and capabilities required to effectively perform these roles, but training is lagging to support the development of these new capabilities.

Discussion of these drivers also brings into play the existence of competing priorities and tensions that currently exist in the lives of VET leaders, managers, teachers and support staff. Mulcahy (2003) describes these broad tensions as choices between business strategy and education, national policy and local reality, entrepreneurship and accountability, and managerialism and professionalism. Or in slightly different terms, others describe the competing tensions that currently exist between staff and corporate needs, and social policy imperatives and the need to operate in a competitive training market (e.g. Mitchell et al., 2003). In response to such drivers for change, organisations need staff with designated levels

of capability in a wide range of areas that are critical to meeting the daily and longer-term needs of an organisation.

Staff and organisational capability

According to Stephenson (1992), individual capability is an integration of knowledge, skills, personal qualities and understanding that are used appropriately and effectively by individuals to perform various roles in the workplace. Capability refers not only to current knowledge, skills, qualities and understanding, but also to an individual's potential in each of these areas. Capability is both about the present sets of skills, qualities and understanding, as well as required sets for individuals to operate in the changed environments that will emerge in the future.

Individual capability creates organisational capability. In particular, successful human resource practices build upon what Hamel (2002) defines as organisational capabilities, that is, what makes an organisation unique, and its source of sustained competitive advantage. Organisational capability is the ability of an organisation to meet its business objectives. Leonard-Barton (1999) proposes that the uniqueness of an organisation, its sources of sustained competitive advantage and its capacity for action are drawn fundamentally from this pool of staff capability.

Statements of required staff capability are typically captured in capability frameworks that are designed for staff who have different operational and strategic roles in organisations (e.g. executive, managers and supervisors). Capability frameworks (see Callan, 2005; Foley & Conole, 2003):

- provide a unifying influence across an organisation and its various business units in terms of an understanding of current and required capability among staff
- inform the recruitment of new staff around preferred capabilities, as well as facilitate mobility, progression and succession planning issues in an organisation
- promote a more strategic approach to human resource management through determining required staff training and development activities to grow capabilities.

However, a large number of reports continue to conclude that the VET approach to human resource management continues to be typically reactive and poorly planned (Dickie et al., 2003; Mulcahy, 2003; Schofield, 2002). As Dickie and her associates (2003) propose, there is a preoccupation with the past and the present. There is too much focus upon operational HR issues (e.g. staff recruitment, selection, salaries, leave) and there is little or no work that adopts a future focus. In contrast, strategic HR practices focus more upon developing and

supporting the achievement of business goals to improve organisational effectiveness (Noe et al., 2000). Central to achieving such business goals is the development and promotion of an organisational culture that attracts and retains people with the recognised capabilities that will drive the future performance for an organisation.

VET leaders, managers and their capability

Transformational leadership focuses upon the significant role that leaders and managers must play in engaging with their staff to support and to challenge organisational practices during quite rapid times of change. A leader's role is to promote the personal and organisational changes required for individuals and their organisations to survive and thrive in our global, highly competitive environments. In particular, modern leadership and management requires individuals to be more focussed upon the future, upon innovation and change, and the roles of enabler, coach and mentor to both motivate employees and to build both confidence and capability to meet these challenges. To address these challenges, individuals need to have well developed capabilities in emotional management (i.e. emotional intelligence) and a real understanding of themselves (i.e. authentic leadership).

In the last 5-7 years, a considerable amount of attention has been given to understanding the challenges facing leaders and managers in the VET context. A number of these reports have put forward typologies or capability parameters based upon detailed prior reviews of the changing nature of the VET context, including our understanding of external pressures for change, client expectations, the changing business of VET and new professional identities. A summary of this work on capability includes the following:

- Mitchell and his colleagues (e.g. Mitchell, 2002a; Mitchell, 2003a; Mitchell, 2004; Mitchell & Young, 2002; Mitchell, Clayton, Hedberg & Paine, 2003) propose that leaders and managers require skills in three domains: traditional management, change management and strategic management. The research suggests that VET managers have primarily focused on traditional management (e.g. controlling, budgeting) but have not focused sufficiently on change management (e.g. forming a coalition of supporters of change) or strategic management (e.g. making strategy in response to unexpected developments). This body of research highlights in particular the impact of the information age upon the need for dramatic changes in VET institutions in the introduction of new types of online services and supports that must make institutions more flexible and customer-centric to survive and grow in a highly competitive training market. Strategic managers will need to also take the lead into this new reality.
- Falk and Smith (2003) emphasise that individual VET leaders must be able to respond to internal and external change through their character attributes (i.e. consistency, ethics, integrity and control); networking, partnership and alliance building skills; through risk

taking, initiative and innovation; and capabilities around visioning (i.e. vision , future trends, proactivity).

- Mulcahy (2003) identified seven domains of activity for VET leaders and managers:
business management (e.g. project management, planning and budgets, setting targets and goals, using new technology; business development (e.g. sales, marketing, identifying new business opportunities); strategic leadership (e.g. future-casting, setting corporate directions, promoting a shared vision, strategic thinking); change leadership (e.g. create a vision for change, build new cultures); people-centred management /human resource development (e.g. communication, consulting with staff and clients, mentoring, coaching, building and supporting teams); education management (.e.g. coordinating and scheduling teaching teams, coordinating courses and staff meetings); and boundary spanning (e.g. forming productive alliances, liaising with industry, public relations)
- Callan's (2001, 2005) reports have emphasised in particular the development of leader and manager capabilities that reflect the dominant requirement in VET today for transformational capacities. In line with the previous discussion of leadership and management as overlapping but distinct domains of activity (e.g. Kotter, 1990), Callan (2005) has proposed separate capabilities for leaders and managers.

Leadership capabilities involve:

corporate vision and direction (e.g. promotes and communicates a clear vision for the organisation; builds a successful corporate team, inspires people to commit); strategic thinking and planning (e.g. undertakes effective strategic analysis, advocates strategic initiatives that keep the organisation ahead of its competitors); change leadership (e.g. inspires alignment of vision, values and behaviours, fosters and supports individual change agents); communication that influences (e.g. negotiates persuasively, encourages debate); business and entrepreneurial skills (e.g. manages risk, knows how to close a deal); and advancement of the interests of VET (e.g. able to position the institution to respond successfully to changes to policy issues and funding).

Manager capabilities, according to Callan (2005), involve:

change management (e.g. adopts a planned and staged approach to change, celebrates short-term wins); development and management of people (e.g. seeks opportunities to give advice, actively seeks out talent); performance management (e.g. allocates, budgets and manages resources to achieve agreed outcomes); financial management (e.g. practices and encourages fiscal responsibility across all levels of staff); and strategic support (e.g. assists in the development of strategic priorities and plans)

- Foley and Conole (2003), also like Callan (2005), have put forward capability frameworks for leaders and managers separately. Leaders (i.e. directorate leadership) display organisation vision and direction; grow the core business; engage in innovation and risk taking and change management; develop and empower people; use communication and interpersonal skills to engage others; have good self-efficacy; understand and work with industry and the community; and work with the vocational education and training system. The capabilities for middle managers involve: organisation vision and direction; growing the core business; developing and empowering people, communication and interpersonal skills; change management; working as a team; and working with the vocational education and training system.

VET teachers and capability

It is often said that VET teachers and trainers have multiple identities. They have one identity located in being an industry specialist, with a detailed knowledge of a specific industry, its history, current challenges, equipment and training systems (Chappell & Johnston, 2003). Once located in a training organisation, they can also develop the identity of pedagogical specialist.

However, if teachers and trainers stay positioned in an organisation, the industry specialist role tends to dominate (Chappell & Hawke, 2003). In addition, identities differ between public and private sector teachers, with the identity of public provider teachers anchored more in the importance of education and training as a social or public good. On the other hand, it is argued that the focus of teachers in private training organisations is more upon the outcomes for the individual student (Chappell & Johnston, 2003).

A number of recent reports build upon our understanding of the capabilities required of teachers to operate in these multiple contexts that require a mix of industry specific, as well as more pedagogical capabilities. In terms of skills in integration as well as innovation, Mitchell and his associates (2003) provide numerous examples of the creativity of leading VET teachers, and their capabilities around integration, innovation and clever assessment devices. However, in viewing teacher capabilities as a whole, Schofield (2002) is concerned that teachers are being recruited in subject areas that are in demand now, but not necessarily will be in demand in the future. A new focus is required upon capacity building among teachers that emphasises quality, creativity, professional judgment and growth rather than simply compliance (Schofield & McDonald, 2004).

The VET context requires teaching staff who:

- have and can choose from a sophisticated pedagogical repertoire. Teachers require access to more learner-centred and work-centred approaches rather than traditional transmission pedagogies (see Chappell, Hawke, Rhodes & Solomon, 2003; Dryen, 2003). The future VET pedagogy needs to support this shift from teaching to learning-directed learning where the teacher is one of a number of resources available to the learner (CURVE and the University of Ballarat, 2003; Dickie et al., 2004)
- can work with multiple clients in multiple contexts, and can integrate the learning experiences from a variety of contexts. In terms of this diversity, NCVER for example in its Student Outcomes Survey, identifies student audiences that now include apprentices and trainees, those who want to start their own business, established workers seeking to upskill, those who are waiting to get a job, those using VET to articulate to higher education, career changes and disengaged learners (Callan, 2005). Teachers need to see themselves as working in the knowledge and service industries

that are characterised by increasingly diverse client bases that include groups of much younger customers and other customers than in the past, as well as the traditional cohort of 19-25 year olds (Dickie, et al., 2004; Guthrie, 2004)

- can manage the convergence of new telecommunications, e-business, and on-line learning to create new opportunities in the variety and delivery of education, training and the services to students (Mitchell et al., 2003)
- want to develop capabilities that will allow them to respond to what are to be the likely areas of greatest impact upon their professional roles. That is, new technologies, the increasingly competitive training environment, more flexible delivery, training packages, changes to funding, and more industry partnerships (Callan, 2005; Dickie et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 2003). To be successful in these environments, VET practitioners will require a range of knowledge sets and skills that allow them to consult with industry towards designing appropriate pedagogy and support systems for an increasingly diverse and e-savvy client groups.
- are creative and innovative. Mitchell and his associates (2005) cite examples of practitioners who demonstrate substantial creativity in implementing a qualification not previously in a local region; embedding sustainability principles in the delivery of training packages; and establishing simulated working environments for the delivery and assessment of a training package (also see Callan 2004 for other examples of similar innovative practices)

In a recent review, Callan (2005) identified five required capability areas for VET teaching staff: expertise in teaching and learning (e.g. demonstrates an understanding of a range of learning theories and techniques that inform practice, adapts learning and teaching strategies to suit individual students and learners); flexible delivery and assessment (e.g. able to factor on-site assessment to suit the systems of the workplace, has knowledge and skills in forms of flexible delivery, including distances, blended, on-line or work-based learning); learner support (e.g. able to customise learning resources for groups and personalise for individuals, knowledge of a range of behaviour management strategies for responding with difficult people); and industry currency (e.g. demonstrates a technical expertise in their subject area, able to partner with industry); and budgeting and planning (e.g. understands the nature of Organisation budgets and funding, formulates with others business and marketing plans to support new training initiatives).

Other reports reveal that teachers need additional training in areas like Training Packages, relationships building with industry, recognition of prior learning and more flexible and customised approaches to learning (CURVE and the University of Ballarat, 2003; Schofield & McDonald, 2004).

VET support staff and capability

As noted by Dickie and her associates (2004), little attention has been given to the capabilities of non-teaching staff. These professionals include librarians, student support staff, counselling

and careers staff, disability support officers, community liaison and curriculum development staff. There is evidence that:

- their role is expanding as students make greater use of e-learning and new technologies for enrolment and student administration
- support staff perceive themselves to be increasingly a major source of corporate knowledge and as key knowledge workers in the changing VET system (Callan, 2005). They expect to be increasingly involved in roles that require the completion of activities around giving advice, guidance and assistance to learners and staff; managing systems, equipment and resources that assist both groups; and working in ways that further the capacity of their organisations to meet government and industry standards and its corporate objectives.

Based upon an interview study of support staff in several public providers, Callan (2005) identified (besides a general set of more generic capabilities) two specialist capability areas required for VET support staff:

- Learner support, including an ability to manage systems, equipment and resources that assist learners; and the provision of general pastoral care, including access to general advice and broader career advice
- Designing business systems, including an ability to learn about and to develop new systems and resources; and knowledge of new VET and industry requirements that will drive further enhancements to existing administrative systems.

Building staff capability

As evidenced by the number of recent reports (e.g. Callan, 2001, Dickie et al., 2004; Mulcahy, 2003), the level of interest and urgency about this issue has increased considerably in recent years. At a national level, there are the successful Reframing the Future and Australian Flexible Learning Framework initiatives. There is also at the State and Territory level training and development being provided in public sector departments, industry advisory bodies, and professional associations. In their review, Dickie and her associates (2004) conclude that:

- the national strategies have mostly focussed on aspects of the national training system, in particular the implementation of Training Packages, flexible learning and delivery and AQTF. Reframing the Future has broadened out to build strategic and change management skills in managers, leaders and support staff (see also Mitchell & Young, 2001). It is concluded that the national professional development programs have especially driven the opportunities for skilling and up-skilling among staff, with the State level and organisational level initiatives providing less frequent opportunities. At the provider level, training has mostly focused upon compliance, occupational health and safety and new IT systems
- communities of practice, networks and mentoring programs are growing in popularity both as forms of professional development, as well as tools for building capability around innovation (see also Mitchell, 2002b; Callan, 2004)

- those most involved in professional development programs are full-time, permanent, teaching staff of training providers, as well as the executive, managers and part-time supervisors. Non-teaching staff report less access to professional development opportunities, while staff of public providers are more able to access these opportunities than staff in smaller private training providers. At the local level, increasingly more staff than in the past are paying for their own professional development.

Human resource managers are still actively debating the optimum strategies for the professional development of staff. For senior leaders and managers, the debate focuses upon the best ways to facilitate the transition from more managerial to leadership roles. In particular, there is substantial evidence that deep learning occurs on-the-job, and that the best approaches to managerial learning involves mixed methods (Centre for Creative Leadership, 2004; Callan & Latemore, 2005).

This mix includes the use of informal support groups to share and discuss leadership experiences; access to in-house or external mentors and coaches; formal internal or external leadership development programs linked into a pool of profiling devices (e.g. 360 degree leadership profiles) and support mechanisms (e.g. mentors, a pool of senior executives); new positions, challenging roles, start-ups, and special projects; and more team-based development activities that require the use of cross-functional teams that apply action learning principles to generate innovative solutions. In the VET context, at the managerial and executive level, managers can benefit from practical learning in the workplace including problem-based learning, active learning, mentoring programs and communities of practice (Callan, 2001; Mulcahy, 2003).

Like managers, teachers in VET expect to access a range of strategies to build what was described earlier as their dual identities of industry specialist and pedagogical expert. Corben and Thomson (2001) describe the need to build capability over time and recognise three stages of development for teaching staff: the development of basic delivery skills; sound teaching practice and reflection on advanced practice. At these later stages, it is likely that teachers will engage more in the same mix of professional development strategies described earlier for managers.

There is some evidence among teachers of additional support for problem-based approaches like action learning and communities of practice (Mitchell & Young, 2001). Given their need for capabilities around various forms of flexible delivery (e.g. blended delivery, e-learning, on-line; see Mitchell, 2003b; Callan, 2005), it can also be argued that professional

development activities for teachers need to strongly model the use of these tools. There is also some evidence that for teachers, role models play a valuable role in assisting the various career transitions, including the one to head teacher (Rice, 2003).

Finally, research suggests that leadership development at all levels of any organization is sustained by the creation of core values, cultures, structures and human resource practices that allow staff to grow and to build new capabilities. These issues will be addressed in more detail in the subsequent reports that will emerge from this project during early 2006.

References

- Ashkanasy, N. M., & Tse, B., (2000), Transformational leadership as management of emotion: A conceptual review. In N. M. Ashkanasy, C. E. J. Hartel & W. J. Zerbe (Eds.). *Emotions in the workplace: Theory, research and practice*. pp. 221-235. Westport, CT: Quorum Books.
- Avolio, B. J., (2005), *Leadership development in balance: Made/born*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Avolio, B. J., & Gardner, W. L., (2005), Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 16, pp. 315-338.
- Buckingham, M., (2005), What great managers do. *Harvard Business Review*, March, pp. 70-79.
- Callan, V. J., (2001), *What are the essential capabilities requested for those who manage training organisations?* Adelaide: NCVET.
- Callan, V. J., (2004), *Building innovative vocational education and training organisation*. Adelaide: NCVET.
- Callan, V. J., (2005), *Building staff capability: TAFE Queensland*. Brisbane: Department of Employment and Training.
- Callan, V.J., Latemore, G., & Paulsen, N. (2004), The best laid plans: Uncertainty, complexity and large scale organisational change. *Mt Eliza Business Review*, 7, pp. 10-17.
- Centre for Creative Leadership (2004), *The CCL guide for leadership in action*. New York: Jossey-Bass.
- Chappell, C., & Hawke, G., (2003), *An industry-led system: issues for policy, practice and practitioners*. OVAL Research Working Paper 03-07. Report 7. Sydney: OVAL Research.
- Chappell, C., & Johnston, R.,(2003), *Changing work: changing roles for vocational education and training teachers and trainers*. Adelaide: NCVET
- Chappell, C., Hawke, G., Rhodes, C. & Solomon, N., (2003), *High level review of training packages: Phase 1 report*. Brisbane: ANTA.
- Collins, J., (2001), *Good to great*. New York: Harper Business.
- Corben, H., & Thompson, K., (2001), *What makes a great teacher? Attributes of excellence in VET*. North Coast Institute, TAFE, NSW.
- CURVE and the University of Ballarat, (2003), *Doing it well, doing it better*. Final report of the ANTA teaching and learning strategies scoping project. Melbourne: ANTA.
- Dickie, M, Eccles, C., Fitzgerald, L., McDonald, R., Cully, M., Blythe, A., Stanwick, J., & Brooks, L., (2004), *Enhancing the capability of VET professionals project: Final Report*. Brisbane: ANTA.
- Dryden, R., (2003), *VET pedagogy: A review of the literature 2000-2003*. Sydney: TAFE NSW.
- Falk, I., & Smith, T., (2003), *Leadership in vocational education and training: Leadership by design, not by default*. Adelaide: NCVET.
- Farson, R., & Keyes, R., (2002), The failure-tolerant leader. *Harvard Business Review*, August, pp. 64-71.
- Foley, J., & Canole, L., (2003), *A draft leadership capability framework to assist leadership development in the Victorian TAFE sector*. Melbourne: Victorian Department of Education & Training.

- George, J. M., (2000), Emotions and leadership: The role of emotional intelligence. *Human Relations*, 53, pp. 1027-1055.
- George, W., (2003), *Authentic Leadership: Rediscovering the secrets to creating lasting value*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Goffee, R., & Jones, G., (2006), *Why should anyone be lead by you?* Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Goleman, D., (1995), *Emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam.
- Goleman, D., (1998), *Working with emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam.
- Goleman, D., (2000), Leadership that gets results. *Harvard Business Review*, March-April, pp. 78-90.
- Gosling, J., & Mintzberg, H., (2003), The five minds of a manager. *Harvard Business Review*, November, pp. 54-63.
- Guthrie, H., (2004), *The vocational education and training workforce: New roles and ways of working*. Adelaide: NCVET.
- Hamel, G., (2002), *Leading the revolution*. New York: Penguin
- Harper, B., Hedberg, J., Bennett, S., & Lockyer, L., (2000), *The on-line experience: The state of Australian on-line education and training*. Adelaide: NCVET.
- Hunt, J. G., (2004), What is leadership? In J. Antonakis, A. T. Cianciolo, & R. Sternberg (Eds.), *The nature of leadership*, pp.19-47. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hunt, J. G., (2005), Explosion of the leadership field and LQ's changing of the editorial guard. *Leadership Quarterly*, 16, pp. 1-8.
- Huy, Q. N., (2001), In praise of middle managers. *Harvard Business Review*, September, pp. 72-79.
- Jaussi, K. S., & Dionne, S. D., (2003), Leading for creativity: The role of unconventional leader behaviour. *Leadership Quarterly*, 14, pp. 475-498.
- Jordan, P.J., Ashkanasy, N.M., & Hartel, C.E.J., (2002), Emotional intelligence as a moderator of emotional and behavioural reactions to job insecurity. *Academy of Management Review*, 27, pp. 361-372.
- Jung, D., (2000), Transformational and transactional leadership and their effects on creativity in groups. *Creativity Research Journal*, 13, pp. 185-195.
- Kotter, J., (1990), What leaders really do. *Harvard Business Review*, May-June, pp. 103-111.
- Latimore, G., & Callan, V.J., (1998) Odysseus for today: Ancient and modern lessons for leaders. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 36, 76-86
- Leonard-Barton, D., (1999). *The knowledge-creating company*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Luthans, F., & Avolio, B. J., (2003), Authentic leadership: A positive developmental approach. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton, & R. E. Quinn (Eds.). *Positive organisational scholarship*, pp 241-261. San Francisco: Barrett-Koehler.
- Mitchell, J., (2002a), *The never-ending quest: effective strategy-making and change management for high-performing VET organisations*, Melbourne: ANTA
- Mitchell, J., (2002b), *The potential for communities of practice to underpin the National Training Framework*, Melbourne: ANTA
- Mitchell, J., (2003a), *Strategy-making in turbulent times*, Melbourne: ANTA

- Mitchell, J., (2003b), *E-business and online learning: connections and opportunities for VET*, Adelaide: NCVET
- Mitchell, J., (2004), *The skilling of VET change agents*. Brisbane: ANTA.
- Mitchell, J., & Young, S., (2001), *High-skilled high performing VET*. Melbourne: ANTA.
- Mitchell, J., & Young, S., (2002), *Developing management skills for high-performing VET organisations*. Paper presented at the 5th Annual AVETRA Conference.
- Mitchell, J., Clayton, B., Hedberg, J., & Paine, N., (2003), *Emerging futures: Innovation in teaching and learning in VET*. Melbourne: ANTA.
- Mitchell, J., McKenna, S., & Perry, W., & Bald, C. (2005), *New ways of working in VET*. Melbourne: ANTA
- Mulcahy, D., (2003), *Leadership and management in vocational education and training: Staying focused on strategy*. Volume 1. Adelaide: NCVET.
- Mumford, M. D., Scott, G. M., Gaddis, B., & Strange, J. M., (2002), Leading creative people: Orchestrating expertise and relationships. *Leadership Quarterly*, 13, pp. 705-750.
- Noe, R.A., Hollenbeck, J.R., Gerhart, B., & Wright, P.M., (2000), *Human resource management*. Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- Paulsen, N., Callan, V.J., Grice, T., Rooney, D., Gallois, C., Jones, E., Bordia, P., & Jimmieson, N., (2005), Job uncertainty and personal control during downsizing: A comparison of survivors and victims. *Human Relations*, 58 (4), 463-496.
- Rice, A., (2003), *The changing role of the TAFE head teacher*. Sixth AVETRA Conference, Sydney.
- Schofield, K., (2002), *A new balance: Investing in public infrastructure to sustain Victoria's training and skills development system*. Melbourne: Office Training and Tertiary Education, Victoria.
- Schofield, K., & McDonald, R., (2004), *Moving on 'Report of the high-level review of training packages'*. Melbourne: ANTA.
- Seligman, M. E. P., (2002), *Authentic happiness: Using the new positive psychology to realise your potential for lasting fulfilment*. New York: Free Press.
- Sosik, J. J., Avolio, B. J., & Kahai, S. S., (1998), Inspiring group creativity: Comparing anonymous and identified electronic brainstorming. *Small Group Research*, 29, pp. 3-31.
- Stephenson, J., (1992), Learning power: A learner managed work based learning program for regional development. *Capability*, 3, pp. 34-37.
- Yukl, G., (1999), An evaluation of conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership themes. *Leadership Quarterly*, 10, pp. 285-305.
- Yukl, G., (2002), *Leadership in organisations*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.